



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,502	08/31/2000	Leon Wong	14917.1124USU1/150707.02	3677
27488	7590	09/25/2008		
MERCHANT & GOULD (MICROSOFT)			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2903			GOLD, AVI M	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-0903				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2157	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/25/2008	PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/652,502	<b>Applicant(s)</b> WONG ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> AVI GOLD	<b>Art Unit</b> 2157	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) AVI GOLD. (3) Jerry Gnuschke.

(2) David St. John-Larkin. (4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 18 September 2008.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-4, 6-8, 10-14, 16-22, 24-28, 29, and 32.

Identification of prior art discussed: Bunney (U.S. Patent No. 6,487,548), Shah et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,606,647), Aravamudan et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,301,609), and Munday et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,480,593).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: We discussed features of the claim language in light of the reference cited. Further search and/or consideration will be performed in response to the official amendment/response.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Avi Gold/ Examiner, Art Unit 2157	/Ario Etienne/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2157
---------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------